

Author: Assembly Committee on Budget Analyst: Janet Jennings Bill Number: AB 1617

Related Bills: SB 857 Telephone: 845-3495 Amended Date: October 6, 2010

Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: Court Ordered Debt Forgiveness

____ ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED of this bill – Not within scope of responsibility of this department.

____ TECHNICAL BILL – No program or fiscal changes to existing program.

____ BILL AS AMENDED NO LONGER WITHIN SCOPE of responsibility or program of the department.

____ TECHNICAL AMENDMENT – No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is _____.

____ MINOR AMENDMENT – Remainder of previous analysis of the bill as introduced/amended _____ still applies.

____ MINOR AMENDMENT – No change in approved position of _____. See Comments below

☒ OTHER – See comments below.

SUMMARY

This bill would, among other things, require governmental entities responsible for collection of delinquent court-ordered debts to administer a one-time amnesty program to accept 50 percent payment of eligible debts as full satisfaction of the debt.

COMMENTS:

The October 6, 2010, amendments would have impacted the FTB. SB 857 (Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal review, Stats. 2010, Ch. 720) contains provisions identical to this bill. An analysis of SB 857 can be found at:

http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/09-10/bill/sen/sb_0851-0900/sb_857_bill_20101019_history.html

Board Position:

____ S	____ NA	____ NP
____ SA	____ O	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAR
____ N	____ OUA	____ PENDING

Franchise Tax Board Staff

Date

Janet Jennings

11/01/10